

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/758,715	01/11/2001	Kenneth Lloyd Westra	THOLAM P139US	9530
20210 75	90 05/30/2003			
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
FOURTH FLOOR 500 N. COMMERCIAL STREET			LAVARIAS, ARNEL C	
MANCHESTER	R, NH 03101-1151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2872	
			DATE MAN ED OF 100 1000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	WC.
Advisory Action	09/758,715	WESTRA ET AL.	
•	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Arnel C. Lavarias	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repict places the application.	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The draw been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 insion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered I	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment t
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		,	^
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on 16 May	<u>2003</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□	disapproved by the	e∕Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		11	7
10.⊠ Other: <u>S e Continuation Sheet</u>		Maria	hh

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to Claims 25 and 45 would require additional search and further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants argue that Smith et al. fails to teach or reasonably suggest 'homogeneous monolithic bulk crystal silicon', as recited in the proposed amendments to Claims 25 and 45. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In reviewing the Smith et al. reference, the upper and lower silicon substrates (i.e. 14 and 18 in Figure 2) are separately processed prior to the wafer bonding process which produces the final structure shown in Figure 2. Hence, both the upper and lower silicon substrates are homogeneous, monolithic bulk crystal silicon, and the anisotropically etched mirror 16 of Figure 2 meets the claimed combination as recited in the proposed amendments to Claims 25 and 45. The Applicants further argue the requirements regarding post processing of the structure as shown in Figure 2. However, such post processing requirements are not recited in the claims. Finally, with regard to the rejection of Claims 25 and 45 under Stanley '658 in view of Madou, the references are correct, however, the Examiner mistakenly used the reference numerals from the Smith et al. '124 reference. The micro mirror is shown in the only Figure of Stanley '658, with '1' being the monolithic bulk crystal silicon, the crystal plane is taken to be one of the vertical planes of reflector '6', '2' being an inlet passage, and '3' being an outlet passage.

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed amendment filed 5/16/03 fails to comply with the new rule 37 CFR 1.121 since the Applicant has not shown markings to indicate changes for all claims that are being currently amended. However, in the spirit of cooperation, the proposed amendment has been considered, and this advisory action is being issued. The Applicant should comply with the new rule in future responses.

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities - 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THIREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

1-10